



## **WORLD FOR WORLD ORGANIZATION (WFWO)**

### **STANDARDS OF CONDUCT FOR FUNDING DECISIONS**

1. The WFWO's stakeholders (including Principal Recipients, sub-recipients, co financing partners, country representatives, WFWO Goodwill Ambassador for Development (ADN), WFWO Advocacy networks and others) and all participants in the WFWO funding review and decision process (for example: EBD Resource Mobilizations Team and alternate members of the Board, members, Executive Secretariat team and members of the Technical Review Panel) or other committees designate by EBD/EP shall abide by the following Standards of Conduct for Contacts with Members of the WFWO Involved in Funding Decisions process on the WFWO's activities.
2. Individuals contacting participants in the WFWO funding review and decision process must clearly state who they are representing;
3. Individuals must not act in any manner that will undermine public confidence and trust in the WFWO funding review and decision process as per WFWO policy guidelines and procedures. For example, they must not:
  - a. Offer anything of value in return for action by a participant in the WFWO review and decision process, or accept anything of value in return for action (this is not limited to items of financial value, and includes any inducement which would cause the decision maker to act on something other than the merits of the pending decision);
  - b. Attempt to influence the outcome of a decision through any form of threat, extortion or blackmail; or
  - c. Contact anyone in a decision maker's organization for the purpose of threatening, intimidating, or in any way exerting undue influence over the decision maker through the organizational hierarchy.
4. Individuals must not encourage anyone to take any actions listed under (3).
5. Individuals must report any action listed under (3) when it comes to their attention.

Breaches of any of these standards shall be promptly notified to the Ethics Committee for formal determination and action under its authority. Such action may include, but not be limited to, (a) formally noting the breach in the Phase II or Proposal documentation sent to decision-makers in the funding process, allowing them to determine whether breaches of the code should result in the denial of new or continuing funding from the WFWO, or (b) in the case of inappropriate conduct by Covered Individuals that fall under the Ethics and Conflict of Interest Policy, referring the issue to the EBD/EP Board for action.